

# SOLID DBQ

- good use of evidence
- clear thesis
- thesis explicit in paper

doc. ↓ outside ↓

Just add brief  
into or AP  
(2-3 sentences)

✓ Although the Constitution of the United States does provide for a separation of power amongst the three branches of government, it's further inclusion of a system of checks and balances, as well as later document written about the benefits of an independent executive branch, reveal that the separation of powers was not intended to create an absolute executive privilege, but rather, to allow the President to make decisions for the welfare of the Union as he saw fit, without having to jeopardize public interest by disclosing too much information.

While those who believe that the executive branch should hold an absolute privilege point to the fact that the founding fathers clearly separated the

by budget  
- 100% of 100%

- 100%  
- 100%

- 100% of 100%  
100% 100%

2010 DDD

three branches of government, giving them their own individual powers not to be tampered with by the other two branches, they ignore the system of checks and balances that the constitution includes. If the powers letters, intended for the executive branch to hold on absolute privilege, they would not have had any real upon, or the definition of absolute. For in the second article of the constitution, when describing the powers of the executive branch, they provided two very important reasons. They wrote that the legislative branch did not have to approve the president who was elected. But they also had the right to override a veto. The president's they had the right to impeach him and they had a say in taxation, moreover, they also decided that the judicial branch could strike down executive orders, which clearly subjects the executive to the authority of the Supreme Court. Thus, as the constitution was designed, it did not give the executive branch an absolute privilege. This idea is supported by further documents published after the end of the constitution. For example, in Federalist No. 47 the author writes, "it is evident that none of the branches ought to possess an overruling influence over the other, in administration of their respective powers". Likewise, in Federalist No. 51, the author writes that a system must be designed so that the government can control itself. In the together, these pieces of evidence support the idea that the government were designed in this manner.

evaluate g's  
more directly -

Good outside knowledge

Augustine - up centering  
of the doctrine - state to  
of the doctrine - state to

the executive branch absolute authority. But if  
the separation of powers was not absolute for  
this reason, why were they, ~~absolutely~~ <sup>not</sup> the  
other lies in later documents written by members  
of the executive branch.

⊗ The separation of powers was included  
in the Constitution, not to give any branch complete  
authority, but to allow them to use their own sense  
in withholding information when it is necessary for  
the public good. For example, Abraham Lincoln  
writes, in defense of not explaining why he  
arrested Baltimore Police Commissioners, "I have  
to state that it is judged to be incompatible  
with the public interest at this time, to furnish  
the information called for by the resolution".  
Likewise, when writing to his secretary of  
defense, president Eisenhower justifies his orders  
not to have any members of the members of the  
department of defense testify in front of congress,  
he says, "throughout our history the president has  
withheld information whenever he found that it  
was incompatible with the public interest". Together,  
these pieces of evidence reveal that the real reason  
that powers of the government were separated was  
to ensure that individuals in the executive branch,  
such as the president, would be able to exercise  
individual judgement in providing for the common  
welfare of the people, not to have absolute authority

no 85 to  
audience

Could use a little  
more outside knowledge -

In conclusion, the separation of powers was included in the constitution not to allow the executive branch absolute authority, but to provide some variety in decisions.